Human-Al Interaction | 2020 Fall Exploring Employee Attrition for Talent Retention Final Presentation

Janet Dai, Yi Wang, Dianne Kim



Abstract/Overview

How might we create a solution that could help companies predict when employees are likely to leave...with the goal of providing a strategy to retain them?

- Attrition is a widespread and expensive problem for companies
- Costs of not only recruiting, interviewing, onboarding, training but also loss of
- productivity and output when an employee leaves unexpectedly
- Cost of replacement = 20% salary of the lost employee







Literature Review

Al applications have been developed to try to tackle workers who are planning to leave their jobs, with companies like IBM. Armed with this knowledge, managers can take strategic actions to encourage that employee to stay. By focusing specifically on high performers with in-demand skills, IBM says it has saved \$300 million in retention costs.

> https://business.linkedin.com/talent-solutions/blog/artificial-intellig ence/2019/IBM-predicts-95-percent-of-turnover-using-AI-and-data





In-depth Interview

8 Participants (3 managers, 1 HR, 4 Employees)

- The choice to leave a job can be very complex and subjective, but the relationship with managers and co-workers is the most common one based on the interviews

- Trust and explanation is super important for this kind of tool

- Attrition prediction tools are ideal for large companies where HR are not familiar to all the employees

nagers/ HR Professionals

agreeing to participate in this study! As a quick reminder we are working on a project for our Human-Al is specifically look at the topic of worker attrition, and the at companies are using to manage their workforce and wanted to get your thoughts on a potential solution we're ould help to address this problem area.

Do you r ons for us before we begin?

Do we have your permission to record this interview, just for note-taking purposes?

Section 1: Demographic

- 2. What is the industry in which you work? (Finance, Education, Healthcare, etc.) Sentier
- 4 How many years of work experience do you have, in total?

Section 2: Job Role and Organization

- What is your job title (as it is written on your business card or email signature?)
- What is the size of the organization (number of employees)? Your best estimate is fine.
- a have you been in your current role?





Exploratory Data Analysis

IBM Kaggle dataset: 35 features, included 1427 employees

IBM Employee Attrition Analysis





16.1% Attrition Rate Lower job level (with lower income), higher attrition



Sales Repersentative and Lab Researchers have higher attrition



Yes. More frenqutely business travel, more attrition

Attrition.

No





Relation between Years in Company with current manager, last promotion and current position(10-year might be a cut-off point)

20

20

30

40









40



Model Card

Logistics Regression has the best accuracy score is 87.9% with the best hyperparameter



Employee Attrition Prediction Model

The model predicts the risk of employee attrition based on their demograhic and working information and returns a probability score to assist Human Resouse Managers to evaluate their employees.

In this model card, you can understand about how the model perfroms on 28 features and learn about how to provide meaningful recommendations to HR based on the feature importances calculate from each input.

MODEL DESCRIPTION

Input: Employee's basic working information (IBM dataset features)

OverTime, JobLevel, MonthlyIncome, StockOptionLevel, TrainingTimesLastYear, BusinessTravel, DailyRate.EnvironmentSatisfaction. JobInvolvement.JobSatisfaction.WorkLifeBalance. Department. DistanceFromHome: PerformanceRating, EducationField, JobRole, NumCompaniesWorked. PercentSalaryHike. RelationshipSatisfaction. Total/WorkingYears. YearsAtCompany. YearsInCurrentRole, YearsSinceLastPromotion, YearsWithCurrManager

Output: The evaluation for the employee attrition decision. For each persona, the model will provide a leaving probability score.

- Attrition final results
- Probability
- Feature Importance
- Confidence score

Medium Risk Line West

LIMITATIONS

This model is established on the IBM data set, which limits the input of HR to be within the range of features included in the dataset. Although these features are splendid, it cannot contain all relevant factors. Different enterprises are hindered by different factors, resulting in unstable forecast results.

Meanwhile, the limitation of specific dataset will lead users to provide corresponding suggestions based on the conclusion of the importance of features, which requires us to further collect relevant data to make up the corresponding threaholds for each feature.

TRADE-OFF

There are some personal demographic information in the dataset, including Ane, Maritial Status and Gende

Employee's basic working information (IBM dataset features) input: OverTime, JobLevel, MonthlyIncome, StockOptionLevel, TrainingTimesLastYear, BusinessTravel, DailyRate.EnvironmentSatisfaction. JobInvolvement.JobSatisfaction.WorkLifeBalance. Department. DistanceFromHome PerformanceRating, EducationField, JobRole, NumCompaniesWorked. PercentSalaryHike. RelationshipSatisfaction. TotalWorkingYears. YearsAtCompany. YearsInCurrentRole, YearsSinceLastPromotion, YearsWithCurrManager Output: The evaluation for the employee attrition decision. For each persona, the model will provide a leaving probability score. - Attrition final results - Probability - Feature Importance Confidence score 45% Marie to Miller March, P. Barr LIMITATIONS

> This model is established on the IBM data set, which limits the input of HR to be within the range of features. included in the dataset. Although these features are splendid, it cannot contain all relevant factors. Different enterprises are hindered by different factors, resulting in unstable forecast results.

> Meanwhile, the limitation of specific dataset will lead users to provide corresponding suggestions based on the conclusion of the importance of features, which requires us to further collect relevant data to make up threaholds for each feature.





conal demographic information in the dataset, including Age, Maritial Status and Gender. ty of Gender and the imbalance of the data, we first analyzed Gender by Al Fairness not lead to bias. However, Age and Maritial Status are closely related and both are tive factors. Even if they have high correlation to Attrition, in order to guarantee the models, we excluded both.

rom testing set by utilized Logistics Regression Model is nearly 88%.

bod Recall, which is 0.98. Disparities in recall are relatively small. The area under ROC is a great proven metric for our current model.



ESTION

ts of the dataset, if you can bring company's employee dataset with you, through our would not only make your results more accurate, but also avoid the bias of the model to of the AI model (after we debug whether the sensitive data is blased and balance the

valuable comments on our recommendation system and look forward to your oving the functions of our recommendation system. We not only need you to enrich our ke valuable suggestions on improving the model.



Al Model

- Convert Binary Classification into Probability score in order to present the risk of employee attrition

- Confusion Matrix analysis Precision, Recall & ROC
- SMOTE Method for Imbalanced Data
- Limitations: The dataset itself has some limitations. (Feature dissatisfaction, lack of thresholds for recommendation, unclear features categories)







Fairness/Bias

Excluded employee sensitive demographic features as they are illegal to collect at workspaces

- Al fairness metrics
- Equalized odds
- Test Fairness
- Counterfactual Fairness

Gender

Age

Marital Status







Persona

- Overtime is always the top reason for attrition
- Salary ranks much lower than expected, and
- has a low impact on attrition
- Easy to have stereotypes on unactionable features
- Most features weigh lower than 5%





Dashboard Design

	Daily Perform 1 day Absence 50% ↓	28 hrs Overtime 25% ↑	In the past 2 months day Business Travel -	Attrition Prediction Score The model has 87% accuracy based on 22 variables but does not take into account some of the subjective measures included in the dashboard Top 5 weighted features:
Craig Donovan New York, NY	Salary \$ 4,641/mos	Mon Stoc	thly Income \$3,441 k \$1,200	Overtime: Yes If this employee did not work overtime, h prediction score would decrease to 18% Years In Current Role: 2 yrs
Job Role Laborator Department Research & D Manager	y Technician Development John Lee			Department: Research & Development Job Level: 1 (Junior Level) If this employee's job level were to increa (Mid-level), his attrition prediction score to 47.23%
Increase customer adoption of Healthline Assist by 2 Performance Review (2019) Leadership Collaboration Professional Skills Skills	Career Progree 04.2020 - Pro Laboratory T 08.2018 - 04. Laboratory T 06.2018 - 08. Laboratory T	ssion esent (7 months) echnician, Team Healthline Assi 2020 (7 months) echnician, Team Healthline Ser 2018 (2 months) echnician, Team Healthline Assi	View All ist ist	Job Involvement: 3 (Medium) Team avg. job involvement: 3.87 Check Team Attrition Analysis >> If this employee's job involvement were to (High), his attrition prediction score woul 47.73%
Data Analysis Python Product Manager Excel Al SPSS	nent 04. 20. 2018 Onboarding	are: 2 ure 5 mor		Suggestion Customize



- Performance vs. Attrition

- Attrition Explanation

- Percentage Risk
- Highly Weighted Features
- Understandable Language
- Suggestion Customization

						1	\otimes
an	Adjust the fields in the right hand colur) nn to see ho	w changing the or	iginal.	raises affect the att	rition prediction score.	-
tomization	* Only highlight the features that weigh	ted aboe 5%					
_	Overtime:	+	28hr	+	+22%		
	Job Level:		Aedium Level		+4%		
Ibor	Monthly Income:	•	\$3,441	: +	+3%	Attrition Prediction:	
arel	Stock:	+	\$1,200	+	+4%	\cap	
	Training Time:	-	3	+	+1%	47%	
	tob Coticipation		-		EN		
irter	Job Satisfaction.	55 194			-5%	LOW KISK	
Assis	Job Involvement:	_	0	3	-5%		
	Environment Satisfaction:		0	3	-2%		
	Work Life Balance	-0		2	-2%		
10 million	00000			-	******		



User Testing

3 users: 1 manager, 1 HR, 1 Employee

- Like the performance metric
- Outcome explanations themselves were clear but not understandable
- Customization was too granular and overwhelming to be useful
- Employee had a negative reaction

Attrition Prediction Score	E ani
The model has 87% accuracy based on 22 variables but does not take into account some of the subjective measures included in the dashboard	54% likely to leave
Top 5 weighted features:	
Overtime: Yes	22%
If this employee did not work overtime, prediction score would decrease to 18%	his attrition
Years in Current Role: 2 yrs	8%
Department: Research & Development	6%
Job Level: 1 (Junior Level)	5%
If this employee's job level were to incre (Mid-level), his attrition prediction score to 47.23%	ease to 2 e would decrease
Job Involvement: 3 (Medium)	5%
Team avg. job involvement: 3.87	
Check Team Attrition Analysis >>	
If this employee's job involvement were (High), his attrition prediction 47.73%	to increase to 4
	医骨骨 化乙基甲基二苯基乙基 法法律法律法律法律法律法律法律法律法律法

Trust 3/5

Suggestion Customization

Adjust the fields in the right hand column to see how changing the original values affect the attrition prediction score. * Only highlight the features that weighted above 5%

Overtime:	${\mathcal H}_{{\mathcal H}}$	28hr	-	+22%	
Job Level:		Medium Level	-	+4%	
Monthly Income:		\$3,441	(: +)	+3%	Attrition Prediction:
Stock:	\approx	\$1,200	(+)	+4%	\cap
Training Time:	-	3	+	+1%	47%
Job Satisfaction:	-		4	-5%	Low Risk
Job Involvement:		-0	3	-5%	
Environment Satisfaction:			3	-2%	
Work Life Balance			2	-2%	

Suggestion C





Personal Dashboard





Personal Dashboard



Minimize the impact of attrition prediction More as a support for the performance

		n Past 2 Months	Annual Performance Team Avg. Score: 76	87
28 Overt (hou	frs)	2 – Business Trip (days)	Leadership Communication Professional Skills	
Salary 2,260/mo	Stock Level 0	Bonus \$568	Innovation <u>View Full Repo</u>	rt>>
			Al Attrition Prediction *The model has 87% accuracy based of variables but the attrition rate may als subjective reasons 65% likely to	on 22 quantitive o be related to other
ant	Rela	4 tionship sfaction	Low Medium	High anation>>

Attrition Explanation

<Back **AI Attrition Prediction Explanation** The model has 87% accuracy based on 22 quantitive variables but the attrition rate may also be related to other subjective reasons **Stock Option Level Over Time** 23% Level 0 🔻 Yes 💌 If overtime is no, the attrition prediction score If the stock level increase to Level 1, the attrition would decrease to 26.33% prediction score would decrease to 52.68% Num Companies Worked 7% Job Level Level 1 🔻 If the job level increase to Level 2, the attrition prediction score would decrease to 58.03% Low Risk Medium Risk







Attrition Explanation

<Back **AI Attrition Prediction Explanation** The model has 87% a Stock Option Level Over Time Level 0 🔻 Yes 💌 If the stock level increase to Level 1, the attrition prediction score would decrease to 52.68% **Job Level** 7% Level 1 -"There is a complex standard for HR to determine the promotion and salary. People have different control over the suggestion customization depending on the role." Low Risk Medium Risk



Provide potential solutions Avoid the customization burden

High Risk

65% likely to leave



Attrition Explanation

<Back **AI Attrition Prediction Explanation** The model has 87% accuracy based on 22 quantitive variables but the attrition rate may also be related to other subjective reasons **Stock Option Level** Over Time 23% Level 0 💌 Yes 💌 Level 1 If overtime is no, the attrition prediction score prease to Level 1, the attrition build decrease to 47.23% would decrease to 18.23% Level 2 Level 3 Num Companies Worked 7% Job Level Level 2 🔻 Low Risk Medium Risk







Team Dashboard





Sales Representative



		¥ Filter		
Yrs in the Team	Performance Rate	Risk		
5 Yrs 2 mos	87	Low Risk		
5 Yrs 2 mos	78	Medium Risk		
4 Yrs 8 mos	83	Low Risk		
3 Yrs 2 mos	90	Low Risk		
1 Yrs 2 mos	87	High Risk		
		The second entremestic and the		

Guidelines for Future Use

Guidelines for HR

- Ideal for large companies where HR is a "black box" and HR does not have a 1:1 relationship with every employee or see dynamics within various teams

- Team-level view of attrition may be more valuable than individual-level
- Best for flagging flight risk for senior-level or above

- Neither employees nor managers would not have access to the platform (but do employees have a right to know?)

"I would keep it to HR because we have this responsibility to be responsible with the data. As much as you can train your managers, they're people and they're fallible." -HR professional

Implications for Future Use

False positive/negative scenarios

"How do you explain the idea of an AI thing that's judging you behind the books without showing them so they don't freak out? Also, you show it to them and they'll know what they can do to game it. They'll know the measures and then it becomes irrelevant." -Manager



Challenges & Lessons Learned

- Limitations with the dataset
- Communication with technical/non-technical
- How to design for data







Thank you! Questions?

Human-Al Interaction | 2020 Fall Janet Dai, Yi Wang, Dianne Kim

