Creating a New Neighborhood Score



Keeshan Patel Human-Al Interaction

Background





Factors are derived from potentially biased scores

Final Neighborhood scores are decided in a black boxed manner They play a huge role in human perception and enforce biases

Problem Definition



Analyze neighborhood scores used on apartment hunting websites to see if they are inherently bias, why they are bias, and to create a new algorithm to alleviate the bias

AreaVibes





Example of Areavibes Score Breakdown and Factors

Analysis Area - 50 Austin Neighborhoods





Мар

ALLANDALE	NORTH LAMAR
BARTON HILLS	NORTH LOOP
BRENTWOOD	NORTH SHOAL CREEK
CENTRAL EAST AUSTIN	NORTH UNIVERSITY
CHESTNUT	OLD WEST AUSTIN
CORONADO HILLS	PARKER LANE
CRESTVIEW	PECAN SPRINGS SPRINGDALE
DAWSON	PLEASANT VALLEY
DOWNTOWN	ROSEDALE
EAST CONGRESS	ROSEWOOD
FRANKLIN PARK	SOUTH LAMAR
GALINDO	SOUTH MANCHACA
GARRISON PARK	SOUTH RIVER CITY
GATEWAY	SWEET BRIAR
GEORGIAN ACRES	TRIANGLE STATE
GOVALLE	UNIVERSITY HILLS
HANCOCK	UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
HERITAGE HILLS	UPPER BOGGY CREEK
HIGHLAND	WEST GATE
HYDE PARK	WEST UNIVERSITY
JOHNSTON TERRACE	WINDSOR HILLS
MCKINNEY	WINDSOR PARK
MONTOPOLIS	WINDSOR ROAD
NORTH AUSTIN	WOOTEN
NORTH BURNETT	ZILKER

List of Neighborhoods

Will use these 50 Austin Neighborhoods for this Study

Livability vs Percent White y = 9.7178x + 67.981 $R^2 = 0.2147$ 100 50 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 20.00% 0.00% 40.00% 60.00% 80.00% 100.00%

Algorithm Analysis - Correlations



Positive Trend for largely White and Asian Neighborhoods

VS



0.00% 10.00% 20.00% 30.00% 40.00% 50.00% 60.00% 70.00% 80.00% 90.00%

Negative Trend for largely African American and Hispanic Neighborhoods

30

20

10

Algorithm Analysis - Correlation Matrix



Correlation Matrix between Areavibes Factors, Demographics, other stats

- Percent White
 0.77 Employment
 0.71 School
 0.65 Crime Score
 - -0.65 Housing
 - -0.66 Cost of Living
- Percent Hispanic
 - -0.72 Employment
 - -0.69 School
 - -0.60 Crime Score
 - 0.62 Housing
 - 0.63 Cost of Living
- Percent African American & Asian
 - No strong correlations

About equal positive and negative trends for White and Hispanic, but opposite livability trends

Algorithm Analysis - Regression



c	LS Regress	ion Results				
Li	vability	R-squared:		0.655 0.607 13.63 1.34e-08		
	OLS	Adj. R-squar	ed:			
Least	Squares	F-statistic:				
Wed, 18	Nov 2020	Prob (F-stat	istic):			
	20:11:18		od:	-126.49		
	43	BIC:		280.4		
	6	2.747.007		17.5	0.000	
n	onrobust					
coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975	
72 6000	0 463	156 767	0.000	71 666	73 53/	
0 6761	0.400	1 390	0.175	-0.312	1 66/	
2 2667	0.490	2,202	0.002	0.002	2.661	
2.2007	0.000	3.306	0.002	1.002	3.051	
2.3904	0.5/1	9.100	0.000	1.239	3.541	
2.9439	0.824	3.573	0.001	1.282	4.60	
1.3679	0.627	2.182	0.035	0.103	2.632	
1.4999	0.642	2.337	0.024	0.205	2.794	
mnibus: 0.915		Durbin-Watso	nı	2.029		
ob(Omnibus): 0.633		Jarque-Bera	(JB):	0.282		
	0.036	Prob(JB):		0.	868	
	3.361	Cond. No.		3	.78	
	Coef 72.6000 0.6761 2.2667 2.3904 2.9439 1.3679 1.4999	OLS Regress Livability OLS Least Squares Wed, 18 Nov 2020 20:11:18 50 43 6 nonrobust coef std err 72.6000 0.463 0.6761 0.490 2.2667 0.686 2.3904 0.571 2.9439 0.824 1.3679 0.627 1.4999 0.642	OLS Regression Results Livability OLS R-squared: Adj. R-squar Least Squares Wed, 18 Nov 2020 F-statistic: Prob (F-stat 20:11:18 Log-Likeliho 50 AIC: 43 43 BIC: 6 6 nonrobust 72.6000 0.463 156.767 0.6761 0.490 1.380 2.2667 0.686 3.302 2.3904 0.571 4.188 2.9439 0.824 3.573 1.3679 0.627 2.182 1.4999 0.642 2.337 0.915 Durbin-Watso 0.633 0.361 Cond. No. 0.036	OLS Regression Results Livability OLS R-squared: Adj. R-squared: P-statistic: Prob (F-statistic): Wed, 18 Nov 2020 Prob (F-statistic): 20:11:18 Log-Likelihood: 50 20:11:18 Log-Likelihood: 43 BIC: 6 nonrobust 6 72.6000 0.463 156.767 0.6761 0.490 1.380 2.2667 0.686 3.302 2.3904 0.571 4.188 0.915 Durbin-Watson: 0.915 Durbin-Watson: 0.633 Jarque-Bera (JB): 0.036 Prob(JB): 3.361 Cond. No.	OLS Regression Results Livability R-squared: 0. OLS Adj. R-squared: 0. Adj. R-squared: 0. Least Squares F-statistic: 13 Wed, 18 Nov 2020 Prob (F-statistic): 1.34e 20:11:18 Log-Likelihood: -126 50 AIC: 26 43 BIC: 26 6 nonrobust 26 72.6000 0.463 156.767 0.000 0.6761 0.490 1.380 0.175 2.2667 0.686 3.302 0.002 2.3904 0.571 4.188 0.000 2.9439 0.824 3.573 0.001 1.3679 0.627 2.182 0.035 0.103 1.4999 0.642 2.337 0.024 0.915 Durbin-Watson: 2. 0. 0. 0.036 Prob(JB): 0. 0. 3.	



Higher score for factor = better neighborhood (high crime score = low crime)

.....

New Metrics in Algorithm (from interviews)





WalkScore



Log in +

Share.



View Austin apartments for rent || View Austin homes for sale #

Walk, Transit, Bike Scores were retrieved for 50 Austin neighborhoods

Diversity Score



$$DiversityScore = 100 * \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} (d_i - d_t)^2}{n - 1}}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} n = number \ of \ ethnicities \\ d_i = percentage \ of \ demographic \ i \\ d_t = percentage \ of \ demographics' ground \ truth \end{split}$$

Equation for Diversity Score (Pseudo-Standard Deviation)



Closer a neighborhood is to the 'Ideal' Percentage, the higher the score

Age Preference



$$z_i = \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma}$$

AgeScore =
$$\frac{Percentile(z_i) * 100}{2} + 50$$

 $x_i = percentage of age group in neighboorhood$ $\mu = mean of percentages of age group$ of neighboorhoods of city $\sigma = standard deviation of percentages of$ age group of neighboorhoods of city $z_i = z \ score \ of \ neighboorhood$

Equation for Age Score (Z-score for Age Ranges)



Example of Age Preference Score for 3 Neighborhoods

Model Creation - Regression



			_	_		_	_		_		
and the second second			-			10.000	-				
and the second se	100			ALC: 1994		11.000	10.000				_
the second se											_
Company of the local sector of the local secto										- 22	_
	-										
and the second se											_
											_
Coll addressed						10.00	1.08				_
and the second sec	10011		-	-		1000					
10000.00	10.1		1000		1.00	10.00	1.08			- M	_
and the second se	100.0		-	10.000	1.000	40.00			-		_
AND A LOOP			10.00	1.00	1.100	12.755	1.075				_
and the second se	100		-	10.000			1 - 10 Mar		-		_
and a local sector of the	81.8		1000	1.64		the little	1.048				_
			-								_
ACCR. (COMP.) COMP. (COMP.)	10.0		-	10.00	10.000	10.000	1.000				
and a second sec							1.000				_
			-	-		-			-		_
and the second sec						1.00	1.00				
			-								_
			_			-					_
and the second state	87 K						1.456				_
	-		-					-			_
NAME AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS OF ADDRESS	144			10.00			140				_
and the second sec	March .		-			11110	10.000				_
ALCONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCR	5.4		1000	11.278	1.000	11.075	1.000				_
and the second s		1.00	-			-			-		_
1000.000				11.248		11.008	1.000			-0.0	_
and the second	10.0	-	-								_
Contract Concerning of Concerning	100		-	10.000		ALC: 1884	1.00				
and share the				1.00			1.00				_
continue dans in children	10.0					-					_
and the second se			1.00	1000		1.00	1.00				
											_
Contraction of the second s											_
	-			-			-				_
	-		_	-		-		-			_
ALCONO TO A					-		1.465				_
	-			and there		-					_
	101		100	1.00		1.12	1.000				
- and a second se	80.00					811 H. H	1.000				_
a contract of the second se		10		10.000	10.000		1.87				
and the second states	-		-	-	1.000	10.000	1.000		-		
the darks during	100 m		-	Arr (199	1.000	1.04	1.110				_
	100			The second se		10.000	1.000				_
and and a second s	100.0		-	100.000		10.000	100.000		-		_
1.00	10.0			10.000		10.000	1.000				
				-		-					_
and the second				10.000		10.00	1.000				_
	-		-			-					_
10 mm	-			-				-			_
and the second s						10.00	1.07				_
and the second se			-			and the second second		-			_





Ground Truth

User Input

Multiple Linear Models

Demo

Future Work



- Develop a better-looking UI
- Investigate better methods to obtain absolute truth for racially biased factors (such as crime score)
- Validate model and idea of diversity score with user tests

Related Works



- Areavibes. (n.d.). Find the best places to live. Retrieved December 07, 2020, from https://www.areavibes.com/
- Burrell, J., & Resor, E. (n.d.). More Than A Number: How Users Interpret Neighborhood 'Scores'. Retrieved December 07, 2020, from <u>https://www.ischool.berkeley.edu/news/2020/more-number-how-users-interpret-neighborhood-scores</u>
- Get your Walk Score. (n.d.). Retrieved December 07, 2020, from https://www.walkscore.com/
- Guzman, S., & Douglas, A. (2015, December). Livability for All. Retrieved December, 2020, from https://www.n4a.org/Files/For%20Newsletter/Planning%20Magazine%20Dec%202015%20Issue.pdf
- Mitchell, Margaret, et al. "Diversity and Inclusion Metrics in Subset Selection." Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society, Association for Computing Machinery, 2020, pp. 117-123. ACM Digital Library, doi:10.1145/3375627.3375832.
- Steel, D., Fazelpour, S., Gillette, K. *et al.* Multiple diversity concepts and their ethical-epistemic implications. *Euro Jnl Phil Sci* 8, 761-780 (2018). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13194-018-0209-5</u>

Thank you Professor Lee and Akef Questions?

